IN THE MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS WESTERN DISTRICT

COMPLETE TITLE OF CASE

STATE OF MISSOURI,

Appellant,

v.

BRENT D. PFLEIDERER,

Respondent.

DOCKET NUMBER WD73407

MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS WESTERN DISTRICT

DATE: June 14, 2011

APPEAL FROM

The Circuit Court of Buchanan County, Missouri The Honorable Daniel F. Kellogg, Judge

JUDGES

Division IV: Hardwick, C.J., Mitchell, J., and Norris, Sp.J.

CONCURRING.

ATTORNEYS

Dwight K. Scroggins, Jr., Prosecuting Attorney Kate H. Schaefer, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney St. Joseph, MO

Attorneys for Appellant,

Joseph A. Morrey St. Joseph, MO

Attorney for Respondent.



MISSOURI APPELLATE COURT OPINION SUMMARY MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS, WESTERN DISTRICT

WD73407			Buchanan County
	Respondent.)	
BRENT D. PFLEIDERER,)	
v.	rippenum,	OPINION FILED: June 14, 2011	
STATE OF MISSOURI,	Appellant,)	

Before Division FourLisa White Hardwick, Chief Judge, Presiding, and **Judges:**Karen King Mitchell, Judge, and Donald T. Norris, Special Judge

The State of Missouri appeals the Circuit Court of Buchanan County's suppression of the blood specimen and resulting blood alcohol analysis conducted by hospital staff for the purposes of diagnosing and treating Brent D. Pfleiderer following a single-vehicle accident in which he was involved while operating a motorcycle. Pfleiderer's motion to suppress was granted because hospital staff's collecting and testing of Pfleiderer's blood, which was not done at the request of law enforcement, was not conducted in accordance with Chapter 577 RSMo (2000). We dismiss the State's appeal.

APPEAL DISMISSED.

DIVISION FOUR HOLDS:

CTATE OF MICCOLDI

For the reasons stated in *State v. Burns*, No. WD 73127, 2011 WL 1363982 (Mo. App. W.D. April 12, 2011), we deem the circuit court's "suppression" of blood evidence an *exclusion* of the evidence based upon its application of a rule of evidence. Therefore, under section 547.200.1(3), the State is afforded no right to seek an interlocutory appeal from the trial court's order, and we must dismiss the appeal.

OPINION BY: Karen King Mitchell, Judge June 14, 2011

* * * * * * * * * * * *